CITAC – October 1, 2010

Present: Chris Ketterman, Jon Schroth, Dave, Carpenter, Ed Peyronnin, Robin McGee, Jim Cox, Al Butler, Mark, Ritschard, Mike Brake

1. Discussion/Approval of minutes
   1. Minutes from September meeting approved unanimously without discussion.

2. IT Centralization RFP Update – Peyronnin
   1. A winner has been selected. IAC on Oct. 15 will be only on the RFP. 4 weeks to collect data from the questionnaire. (CSU IT) – Nov. 15th, should be completed. Consultants will be on campus last week of Nov/1st week of Dec. Come back beginning of January, onsite work to be done by end of Jan, report complete by March 31. The first college should not be CNS to give a better picture of the IT environment.
   2. Chris would like to bring stuff forward to be centralized that could be. There was some discussion about this, and some concerns were brought up about the cost model that ACNS uses, compared to other units (like College of Engineering).
   3. Draft questions & matrix were distributed for the rest of the group to review and discussion was held about the draft questionnaire. Jon to send us out a link for an HP ITIL exercise.
   4. Some minor changes were suggested on hardware inventory worksheet.
   5. There was discussion about the fund source as it relates to what we have and what we have to have needs to be in the conversation with auditors. The IT groups usually have little control over what researchers need or what researchers will purchase.
   6. Ed will consolidate thoughts and send to us for us to review.

3. CITAC Charter
   1. Suggestion to remove extra sentence in section V. Mark suggestion changes in the introduction, with discussion by the group. It was decided to strike CSU Libraries from section II. Mark & Dave are going to work on cleaning up section I. Discussion about including a chart to see how they all fit in. It was determined that our mission is to be an advocate for academic computing. ACNS should provide services that we request – not dictate services.

4. Data Center agreement form
   1. E-wing. There is a need for departmental/college space in E-7. Some have 24 hour access, the default is not. Needed standard size racks. Review of the application form, Mark made some changes to 2.d. to clarify power requirements.

5. CIC Update - Ritschard, Schroth, Cox, McGee
   1. Met again – not much new to report, working on funding algorithm

6. New Items
7. Other
   1. CRB – UFAB has available funds that will be to renovate GSA classrooms in the next 3 years.

8. Adjourn
   Next meeting will be November 5 Hosted by WCNR to discuss interaction with the auditors.