Members Present

Carpenter, Dave
Cobb, Larry
Cox, James
Ketterman, Chris
McGee, Robin
Peyronnin, Ed
Ritschard, Mark
Schroth, Jon

Minutes

1. Discussion - Charge to the Communications Infrastructure Committee (April 9, 2009)
   a. Divorce telephone discussion from technical-biased infrastructure committee?
   b. NSF proposal for high-performance computing grant last year, new proposal for network in August. Unclear exactly how this impacts the charge.
      IAC meeting on June 11 will also discuss NSF
   c. Ultimate goal seems to be hardening the network
   d. We feel that telephony needs to be part of the discussion because it will impact decisions 5-10 years later.
   e. College of Liberal Arts, in light of budget situation, is considering removing phones all together for many faculty – instead maybe voice mail only. 700 phones down to 100.
      (Current PBX – SoftOnly assignment does this for $2.50/month – not well known)
   f. Every college and department will likely have different needs. The Communication Infrastructure Committee should be very useful.
   g. COB working on deal that utilizes Microsoft Unified Messaging for distance degree students.

2. Campus Agreement *
   a. Pricing has become an issue.
   b. FTE count went up, largely due to the new method units are required to use for counting student employees.
   c. Microsoft Select pricing is better than Current Campus Agreement – markup?
      i. Considering other venders for Microsoft Products – up for bid? CDWG & Ramtech?
   d. Symptomatic of other issues at RamTech, possibly.
      i. Markup - $60 Desktop CAL, $43 is what RamTech pays.
      ii. Knowledge Base – Licensing advocate who actually understands
      iii. What value does RamTech add?
   e. Licensing happens next week – COB unwilling to pay prices (up to Dean long run), could jeopardize new contract?
   f. Jon putting together spreadsheet of price differences.
g. Thought was given to hiring a licensing consultant to make sure we are doing it right, explore if we could save on licensing.

3. Review IAC Agenda
   a. Records retention policies – Clarified responsibilities now
   b. HP open purchase now on punch out

4. Discussion - Assume responsibility for student computing standards page
   a. Let it stay with UTC – the people managing labs are often the ones attending UTC

5. Council Housekeeping - responsibilities
   a. Agenda item submission process – ideas on site, gathered by Chair
   b. Minutes – by Host each week
   c. Reminder to VIPRIT to send IAC/ITEC agenda by Chair

Next meeting tentative Wednesday July 1, 3-5.